

## UNNED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMB	SER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTOR	NEY DOCKET NO
	•			
		[	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	25
	INTE	ERVIEW SUMMARY	ATE MAILED:	
JOHN BA	applicant's representative, PTO person  LL (appl. seg of liver  ARSCHEL (Ea.)  7-3-02	<i>1</i> \		
	Televideo Conference Personal	(copy is given to []applicant []a	pplicant's represen	itative).
	ration conducted: Yes KNo If y			
greement M was reach	ed [] was not reached  N/A  scussed:  N/A			
escription of the general enformed the entry and sententer all	Les been enteral.	anet, filed 6/3/02,	his bee	n approved
relicists a	I they time.			
fuller description, if neo ust be attached. Also, w ached.)	essary, and a copy of the amendment there no copy of the amendments which	ts, if available, which the examiner ag ch would render the claims allowable	reed would render is available, a sum	the claims allowable mary thereof must be
It is not necessary for	or applicant to provide a separate reco	ord of the substance of the interview.		
NOT WAIVED AND MU	ve has been checked to indicate to the ST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MODERVIEW.	THE INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section	on 713.04). If a rep	ly to the last Office
aminer Note: You must:	sign this form unless it is an attachme	ent to another form.		

FORM PTOL-413 (RE 2.298)

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview

§1 133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filled by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate baxes and filling in the blanks in neat hand written form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and fisted on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior an discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so a description of the general nature of the agreement may be by attachment of a copy
  of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
  contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview or when it is a tequater, recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes or is supplemented by the applicable remainer to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of specific prior artidiscussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary. Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a hilled identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he leefs were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other perfinent matters discussed, and
- And appropriate the general results or rule threadons will unless already describe for the loternew Summing Film completed by the examiner

Examinent are expected to darefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the records of it complete or a courage, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.1356).

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what tack place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statument attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inall uracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for the reasons of the first the examiner should send a letter setting forthing or their version. If the statement attributed to bin. If the record is complete and accurate the examiner should grave the indication interest was record OKT on the paper whom they allow a standard the entire was a long with the date and the examiner's initials.